

360 Browser update

CA/Browser Forum – Shanghai October 2018

Iñigo Barreira, 360



Agenda




- ◆ News
 - New policy version 1.2
 - New UIs
- ◆ CA Root inclusion process
 - List of accepted CAs
 - Issues with CAs documentation


News

Root program policy

- ◆ New policy, version 1.2
 - Modified procedure for inclusion.
 - New CA form
 - New policy requirements, requesting specific and adequate information in the CP/CPS about the validation methods

◆ For CAs included in the 360 root store

- No problem: prompts the green lock 
- Expired certificate: prompts the grey lock , blocks the page directly
- Error host: prompts the grey lock 

Certificate was revoked: revise to prompt the grey lock , and blocks the page

◆ For CAs NOT included in the 360 root store


- In MS windows root store, non-government and other special sites——poor security


Prompt  in early period

Prompt  in mid period

Prompt  in later period

- Not in MS windows root store, non-government and other special sites——insecurity

prompt , blocks the page directly

- Government and other special websites: prompt  always

CA root inclusion

Root inclusion process

- ◆ New list of accepted CAs
 - In “caprogram” website (caprogram.360.cn) will be published the list of the included CAs in the 360 root store
 - This site will be updated quarterly and will be listed in the CABF wiki as well, for people to check.
 - At the end of October a new (beta) version (kernel 69) with the first list of admitted CAs in the root store. This will become definitive in november.

Issues with CAs documentation

◆ Issues with CAs documentation

- Don't "copy-paste" the BRs:
 - The CP/CPS is about your CA, there can't be terms like "the CA" when referring to your own CA.
 - Regarding validation methods, is hard to understand that the CA has implemented all methods available.
 - Use your words in the revocation section.
- Please, review some "typos" ,i.e. RFC 3280. Also, review section 1.6 there are terms and acronyms included that are not used in the document.
- Be explicit, the CPS is a "binding" document and would like to read all the minimal requirements: what to do in case of discrepancy, what to do when validating a cert that has not been issued, what about vulnerability scans and penetration tests, etc.
- BTW, the CP/CPSs have to be updated yearly

Question for CAs: we'd like to know why it takes so long to update a CP/CPS when there are some issues to be addressed.

Thank You!

